Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

| Detail | Data |
|--|------------------------------------|
| School name | Enderby Danemill Primary School |
| Number of pupils in school | 382 |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | 18% |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended) | 2022-2025 |
| Date this statement was published | 01/12/2023 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | 30/08/2024 |
| Statement authorised by | Laura Hackett |
| Pupil premium lead | Emily Hainsworth |
| Governor / Trustee lead | Darren Gunter |

Funding overview

| Detail | Amount |
|---|----------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | £118,384 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £4,056 |
| Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year | £120,310 |

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our primary goal is to ensure that every student, regardless of their background or the obstacles they may encounter, makes significant progress and attains high levels of achievement in all subject areas. Our pupil premium strategy centres on providing support to underprivileged students to help them reach this objective, even for those who are already high achievers. We are committed to addressing the unique challenges faced by vulnerable students, including those with social workers and young caregivers, and the initiatives outlined in this statement aim to cater to their needs, regardless of their economic disadvantage.

The cornerstone of our approach is high-quality teaching, with a specific focus on areas where underprivileged students require the most assistance. This approach has been proven to be highly effective in narrowing the achievement gap caused by disadvantage and, simultaneously, benefits all students in our school, not just those facing economic challenges. Implicit in the expected outcomes described below is the aim to maintain and enhance the academic performance of non-disadvantaged students while facilitating progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is an integral part of our broader school plans for educational development notably through targeted support provided by the National Tutoring Programme. This support extends to students whose education has been significantly impacted, including those who aren't economically disadvantaged. Our approach will be adaptive, addressing common challenges and individual needs, and will be based on thorough diagnostic assessment rather than making assumptions about the impact of economic disadvantage.

The various approaches we've adopted complement each other to help all students thrive. To ensure their effectiveness, we will:

- Ensure that underprivileged students are appropriately challenged in the tasks they are assigned.
- Take proactive measures to intervene as soon as the need is identified.
- Implement a whole-school approach in which all staff members take responsibility for the academic outcomes of underprivileged students and raise their expectations regarding what these students can achieve.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
|---------------------|---|
| 1 | <u>SEMH</u> |
| | Assessment and observations indicate that the education, well-being and |
| | emotional regulation of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been |
| | impacted by the partial closure of schools. These children are in need of |
| | support to ensure good mental health and well-being. |
| 2 | Speech and Language |
| | Assessment, discussions and observations with pupils across both key stages |
| | indicate underdeveloped oral language skills and extensive vocabulary gaps. This |
| | is evident from the EYFS screening data as only 9% of children presented as |
| | achieving expected expressive and receptive language. |
| 3 | Enrichment |
| | Some pupils lack real world experiences to build knowledge, develop their social |
| | skills and widen their understanding of the wider world. |
| | Research from the IDACI suggests that Enderby Danemill Primary School is above the average level of deprivation for Leicestershire in 8 out of the 10 domains. |
| Δ | |
| 4 | Attendance |
| | Our October 2023 ISDR reports that in 2021/2022 we were in the highest 20% of schools for FSM absence and in the highest 20% for persistent attendance. The |
| | collective attendance for Pupil Premium children during the 2022 – 2023 |
| | academic year was 90.41%, with 36.73% being persistent absentees. Both |
| | statistics are higher than the current national averages (attendance – 94%, |
| | persistent absence – 17%). Our challenge is to improve attendance for children |
| | that are eligible for Pupil Premium. |
| 5 | Gaps in Learning |
| | Many of our disadvantaged pupils have been significantly impacted by lockdown |
| | education and the education beliefs of their parents and carers. |
| | This has resulted in significant knowledge gaps, leading to pupils fallingfurther |
| | behind age-related expectations in core subjects. On average, approximately 50% |
| | of pupil premium are moving through the school, working below age related |
| | expectations. |

| | | Maths | Reading | Writing | Combined |
|---|-------------------|--------------|--|-------------------|----------|
| | Year 1 (9) | 67% | 67% | 67% | 67% |
| | Year 2 (14) | 50% | 50% | 36% | 36% |
| | Year 3 (14) | 57% | 64% | 43% | 43% |
| | Year 4 (9) | 56% | 44% | 33% | 33% |
| | Year 5 (12) | 50% | 67% | 67% | 50% |
| | Year 6 (17) | 65% | 71% | 53% | 47% |
| 6 | the potential t | o widen as o | our blended lea ur disadvantageo rning from homo | d pupils do not l | |

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

These are broken down into six cores areas across the school, including; SEMH, Speech and Language, enrichment opportunities, attendance, gaps in learning and technology.

| Intended outcome | Success criteria |
|--|--|
| <u>SEMH</u> To support those children with social, emotional and mental health needs with targeted interventions. | Children will receive targeted support by various members of the Pastoral Team, including the ELSA, Well-being LSA, Behaviour Mentor and the Family Support Worker. |
| | Qualitative data from pupil voice, pupil and parent surveys and teacher observations will be triangulated alongside quantitative data received from the interventions. |
| 2. <u>Speech and Language</u> Children across the school to access Speech and Language Therapy. | Children will receive targeted support from both the Communications Champion and the Trust's SALT Team. |
| | Assessments and observations will be used to indicate improved oral language among disadvantaged pupils. This will be triangulated with other sources of evidence such as engagement in lessons and on-going formative and summative assessments. |
| 3. <u>Enrichment</u> Provide real life experiences for children who are eligible | Children will receive partial financial support towards real world experiences. |
| for pupil premium. | Quantitative data to outline trip and after school club uptake. |
| <u>Attendance</u> Improve attendance for children that are eligible for | Pupil Premium families to be supported by the Family Support Worker. |
| pupil premium. | Sustain attendance is in line with the National figures: |
| | The average percentage of all children attending to be above 94% |
| | The percentage of disadvantaged pupils who are persistently absent being below 17% |
| 5. <u>Gaps in Learning</u> Improve the combined attainment for children across the school. | Pupil Premium children to receive targeted interventions to diminish their gaps in the core subjects, alongside scaffolded, high quality first teaching in the classroom environment. |

| | Key Stage 2 combined outcomes for pupil premium children to meet or exceed the Trust expected target of 53%. |
|---|---|
| 6. <u>Technology</u> Provide access to technology at home to enhance learning | Targeted families to receive a subsidised device for disadvantaged children to access at home. |
| opportunities to diminish the digital divide. | Children to have the opportunity to access a variety of educational subscriptions at home. |
| | Use quantitative data to demonstrate engagement outside of school hours and for individual subscriptions. To triangulate findings alongside qualitative data (pupil voice, parent voice) to gain an insight into the impact of accessing technology at home. |

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £8,571

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| To subsidise the cost of devises as part of a leasing scheme. To provide subscriptions to key educational resources. | There is conclusive evidence that digital equipment, tools and resources can, where effectively used, raise the speed and depth of learning in science and mathematics for primary and age learners. Where learners use digital learning at home as well as school for formal and non-formal learning activities these have positive effects on their attainment, because they have extended their learning time. <u>Digital learning and raising attainment - Literature Review on the Impact of Digital Technology on Learning and Teaching - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u> | 6 £4,056 £1,807 |
| To subsidise a sports coach to facilitate free after school sports clubs for pupil premium children. | Participating in organised sports and joining after school clubs can help to improve primary school children's academic performance and social skills, new research shows. Out of school activities improve children's educational attainment - Nuffield Foundation | 3 £2,708 |

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one supportstructured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £23,784

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Appointed Intervention lead to run interventions solely targeted for disadvantaged children. | "High quality interventions can have apositive impact on the outcomes of struggling students." <u>Teaching Assistant Interventions EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)</u> | 5 £16,945 |
| National Tutoring Programme | The EEF <u>Teaching and Learning Toolkit</u> indicates "that if tuition is high-quality andaligned to classroom teaching, pupils canmake up to five months additional pro- gress with one-to-one tuition and up to four months additional progress with small group tuition" (taken from the EEF <u>Making a Difference with Effective Tutor-</u> ing guide) "Tuition is one of the best evidenced | 5 £6,839 |
| | interventions we have to support disadvantaged pupils' attainment." - Professor Becky Francis | |

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £92,624

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Sensory Workshops | Reports from wider agencies for specific children cite sensory workshops as being a suitable intervention to support our children. | 1 £4,500 |
| | Improving Social and Emotional Learning in Primary Schools EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) | |
| Speech and language Therapist and Communication | The Communication Trust: "There is a substantial amount of evidence linking SLCN with other areas of difficulty. We know that children with SLCN have | 2 £9,283 |
| Champion to work with identified | difficulties across many areas of their lives." | £7451 |
| children to support their level of communication | "On average, oral language approacheshave a high impact on pupil outcomes of6 months' additional progress." – <u>EEF Oral</u> Language Interventions findings | |
| | School baseline data against the communication trust age-appropriate expectations shows that large proportionof children are below. | |
| | EPIC provide regular discussion with colleagues and to discuss SALT interventions. This gives an opportunity to discuss any concerns and any areas that need to be addressed. EPIC also provides training to staff in order for the interventions that EPIC run to be conducted by TAs throughout the week. | |
| | Oral language interventions EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) | |

| | | 1 |
|---------------------------|--|---------|
| Children that | Behaviour intervention Sutton Trust: | 1 |
| require support | moderate impact for moderate cost (social | |
| from one of | and emotional learning) | £10,930 |
| the ELSA's are | "Social and emotional learning approaches | |
| identified by | have a positive impact, on average, of 4 | |
| staff. | months' additional progress in academic | 1 |
| Interventions | outcomes over thecourse of an academic | |
| are then | yearbeing ableto effectively manage | £20,844 |
| designed to | emotions will be beneficial to children and | - / - |
| supportthe | young people even if it does not translate to | |
| children's | reading ormaths scores" | |
| needs. | EEF Social and Emotional Learning findings | |
| | The ELSAs provide sessions that are | |
| | tailored to meet the needs of each pupil. | |
| | Interventions are running on a 1:1 basis or | |
| | in a group. They conduct weekly well-being | |
| | meetings with SLT to discuss the impact | |
| | and progress each child is making. | |
| A pastoral team has | <u>Sutton Trust</u> : moderate impact for moderate | 1 |
| been employed to | cost (social and emotional learning). | T |
| provide support for | | |
| children with Social, | "Both targeted interventions and universal | £24,807 |
| Emotional and Mental | approaches have positive overall effects (+ 4 | |
| Health needs. | months)" – <u>EEFBehaviour Interventions</u> | 4 |
| This team of staff | findings | 4 |
| provides in class | | |
| support where relevant. | "Social and omotional learning approaches | £9,864 |
| They write behaviour | "Social and emotional learning approaches | |
| , | have a positive impact, on average, of 4 | 3 |
| plans, provide | months' additional progress in academic outcomes over thecourse of an academic | £588 |
| interventions and | | 1300 |
| support families with any | | |
| SEMH needs. | emotions will be beneficial to children and | |
| | young people even if it does not translate to | |
| | reading ormaths scores" – <u>EEF Social and</u> | |
| | Emotional Learning findings | |
| The aim is to allow PP | Among the many potential outcomes, re- | 3 |
| pupils to access new | search has shown that field trips: | |
| experiences which | Expose students to new experiences | £2,000 |
| they otherwise would | and can increase interest and | , |
| not be able to. | engagement in science regardless of | |
| The aim is to boost | prior interest in a topic(Kisiel, 2005; | |
| pupil's confidence | Bonderup Dohn, 2011), | |
| and self-esteem. | Result in affective gains such as | |
| | more positive feelings toward a | |
| | topic (Csikszentmihalyi & | |
| | Hermanson, 1995; Nadelson & Jor- | |
| | dan, 2012). | |
| | Are experiences that can be re- | |
| | called and useful long after a visit | |

| | (Salmi, 2003; Falk & Dierking, 1997; | |
|--------------------------|---|--------|
| | Wolins, Jensen, & Ulzheimer, 1992). | |
| | "Approaches linked to gains in attainment | |
| | almost always have a significant academic | |
| | component, suggesting that raising | |
| | aspirations in isolation will not be | |
| | effectiveensuring that students have the | |
| | knowledge and skills to progress towardstheir | |
| | aspirations is likely to be more effective" | |
| | (EEF Aspiration Interventions findings) | |
| Targeted families to | Maslow's hierarchy of need – ensure that | 4 |
| receive breakfast and | children have basic needs met, including a | |
| milk in the mornings. | nutritious breakfast. | £1,657 |
| This will support the | | |
| children's energyand | EEF found that "the provision of universal | £500 |
| ability to sustain focus | breakfast programmes has hadsmall | 2300 |
| during the morning | positive effect on pupil attendancea | |
| session. | promising area for future research might be | |
| | aroundhow to maximise the impact of | |
| | breakfastclubs" (<u>Attendance Interventions</u> report, March 2022). | |
| To purchase uniform | Wearing a uniform is not, on its own, likely to | 4 |
| to support families | improve learning, but can be successfully | 4 |
| who are struggling | incorporated into a broader school | |
| with clothing their | improvement process which includes the | £200 |
| children for school. | development of a school ethos and the | |
| | improvement of behaviour and discipline. | |
| | School uniform EEF | |
| | (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) | |

Total budgeted cost: £124,979

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2022 to 2023 academic year.

Solihull course hosted. Feedback was positive & parents reflected on how the course had impacted on their management of their child's behaviour.

Sensory workshops were delivered to 72 pupils across the school. The sessions werethoroughly enjoyed by all pupils. Pupils overcame fears regarding their sensory awareness, and this led to improvements in their S&D scores & ELQ scores.

Pastoral team supported many pupils & families during the academic year. This has resulted in 7 pupils transferring to specialist provision to ensure that their needs are properly catered for. This is reflected in the S&D / ELQ scores also.

All pupils that were supported by our in-school SALT made good (some outstanding) progress. This can be evidenced through the TOMs scores on their individual SALT plan.

Full breakdowns on improvements with attainment & progress are available to view and will be shared with our Advisory Board during our first meeting of the academic year.

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

| Programme | Provider |
|-----------|----------|
| None | |

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

| Measure | Details |
|--|---------|
| How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year? | N/A |
| What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils? | N/A |

Further information (optional)

Use this space to provide any further information about your pupil premium strategy. For example, about your strategy planning, or other activity that you are implementing to support disadvantaged pupils, that is not dependent on pupil premium or recovery premium funding.